1				
2				
3				
4				
_				
5				
6				
7	STATE OF W	SHINCTON		
8	STATE OF WASHINGTON SPOKANE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT			
9	STATE OF WASHINGTON,	NO. 03-2-00422-1		
10	DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY,	CONSENT DECREE		
11	Plaintiff,	CONSENT DECREE		
12	v.			
13	v.			
	AVISTA DEVELOPMENT, INC.,			
14	Defendant.			
15				
16				
17	Table of C			
		2		
18		4		
1.0		5		
19		5		
20		6		
20				
21		ATORS8		
		9		
22		9		
,,		ND AVAILABILITY		
23				
24	XII. RETENTION OF RECORDS			
∠ →				
25		EE		
26				
	XVII COVENANT NOT TO SHE	16		

1 I	XVIII. CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION		
1	XIX. FINANCIAL ASSURANCES		
2	XX. INDEMNIFICATION		
	XXI. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS		
3	XXII. REMEDIAL AND INVESTIGATIVE COSTS		
4	XXIII. IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION		
7	XXV. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION		
5	XXVI. DURATION OF DECREE 23		
6	XXVII. CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE		
0	XXVIII. EFFECTIVE DATE		
7	XXIX. PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT24		
0			
8	Exhibit A – Cleanup Action Plan		
9	Exhibit B – Site Diagram		
	Exhibit C – Schedule for Completing Work Exhibit D – Sampling Data Submittal Requirements		
0	Exhibit E – Public Participation Plan		
1	1		
	I. INTRODUCTION		
2	A. In entering into this Consent Decree (Decree), the mutual objective of the		
3	Washington State Department of Ecology (the Department) and of Avista Development, Inc.		
4	(the Respondent) is to provide for remedial action at a location where there has been a release		
15	of hazardous substances. This Decree requires the Respondent to undertake the remedial		
6	actions specified in the Cleanup Action Plan attached as Exhibit A to this Decree. The		
17	Department has determined that the actions described in the Cleanup Action Plan are necessary		
8	to protect public health and the environment.		
9	B. The Respondent and the Department have also entered into a consent decree		
20	with several Debtors (defined below) in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court matter styled, <i>In re Kaiser</i>		
21	Aluminum Corporation, et al., Bankr. D. Del, Case No. 02-10429 (JFK) (the Bankruptcy		
22	Consent Decree). Under the Bankruptcy Consent Decree, the Debtors agree to contribute to		
23	the funding of the remedial action called for by this Decree, in exchange for certain releases,		
24	covenants not to sue, and other consideration from Avista and the Department as more fully		
25	described in the Bankruptcy Consent Decree. The Parties to this Decree acknowledge that the		
26	United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) under the authority of CERCLA		

(i.e. Superfund) is investigating hazardous substance contamination in the Coeur d' Alene basin and the upper Spokane River, focusing on metals contamination associated with historic mining operations in Idaho. USEPA has designated the Spokane River as part of Operable Unit 3 in its Record of Decision (ROD). Remedy selection and evaluation in Washington addressed by the USEPA in the ROD encompasses the river from the Idaho state line downstream to Upriver Dam, including the entire Upriver Dam PCB Sediment Site. Metals-related contamination associated with historic mining operations has been determined to be broadly distributed within Operable Unit 3, including areas at the Site. The USEPA ROD (September 2002) proposed capping or dredging as remedy alternatives to reduce metals risks in sediments immediately behind Upriver Dam. The USEPA also concluded that further investigation and coordination with the State of Washington is appropriate before selection of the final remedy for metals contamination.

- C. USEPA was provided with a draft of the Cleanup Action Plan and of this Decree, and given an opportunity to comment on both documents. The Parties agree that the remedial actions required by this Decree are consistent with the remedy alternatives that USEPA has proposed for metals-related contamination in sediments behind Upriver Dam.
- D. A complaint in this action was filed on January 17, 2003. An earlier Consent Decree was entered by this Court on February 6, 2003, and required Avista and Kaiser Aluminum and Chemical Corporation to perform certain studies and investigations, which have now been completed. An answer has not been filed, and there has not been a trial on any issue of fact or law in this case. However, the Parties wish to resolve the issues raised by the Department's complaint. In addition, the Parties agree that settlement of these matters without litigation is reasonable and in the public interest and that entry of this Decree is the most appropriate means of resolving these matters.
- E. In signing this Decree, Avista agrees to its entry and agrees to be bound by its terms.

F. By entering into this Decree, the Parties do not intend to discharge non-settling parties from any liability they may have with respect to matters alleged in the complaint other than as provided in the Bankruptcy Consent Decree. The Parties retain the right to seek reimbursement, in whole or in part, from any liable persons (except as provided in the Bankruptcy Consent Decree) for sums expended under this Decree.

- G. This Decree shall not be construed as proof of liability or responsibility for any releases of hazardous substances or cost for remedial action nor an admission of any facts; provided, however, that the Respondent shall not challenge the authority of the Attorney General and the Department to enforce this Decree.
- H. The Court is fully advised of the reasons for entry of this Decree, and good cause having been shown: Now, therefore, it is HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

II. JURISDICTION

- A. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and over the Parties pursuant to Chapter 70.105D RCW, the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA). Authority is conferred upon the Washington State Attorney General by RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a) to agree to a settlement with any potentially liable person if, after public notice and any required hearing, Ecology finds the proposed settlement would lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances. RCW 70.105D.040(4)(b) requires that such a settlement be entered as a Consent Decree issued by a court of competent jurisdiction.
- B. The Department has determined that a release or threatened release of hazardous substances has occurred at the Site that is the subject of this Decree.
- C. The Department has given notice to the Respondent, as set forth in RCW 70.105D.020(15), of the Department's determination that the Respondent is a potentially liable person for the Site and that there has been a release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Site.

- D. The actions to be taken pursuant to this Decree are necessary to protect public health and the environment.
 - E. This Decree has been subject to public notice and comment.
- F. Ecology finds that this Decree will lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances at the Site in compliance with the cleanup standards established under RCW 70.105D.030(2)(e) and Chapter 173-340 WAC.
- G. The Respondent has agreed to undertake the actions specified in this Decree and consents to the entry of this Decree under MTCA.

III. PARTIES BOUND

This Decree shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties, their successors and assigns. The undersigned representative of each Party hereby certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into this Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to comply with the Decree. The Respondent agrees to undertake all actions required by the terms and conditions of this Decree. No change in ownership or corporate status shall alter the responsibility of the Respondent under this Decree. Respondent shall provide a copy of this Decree to all agents, contractors, and subcontractors retained to perform work required by this Decree, and shall ensure that all work undertaken by such agents, contractors, and subcontractors complies with this Decree.

IV. DEFINITIONS

Unless otherwise specified, the definitions set forth in Chapter 70.105D RCW and Chapter 173-340 WAC shall control the meanings of the terms used in this Decree.

A. <u>Site</u>: The Site consists of the areal extent of PCB-contaminated sediments in that area of the Spokane River located upstream of and hydraulically influenced by the Upriver Dam between approximate river mile (RM) 80 (near the Upriver dam) and RM 85 (upstream of the dam near the Centennial Trail footbridge). The Site is more particularly described in

- Exhibit B to this Decree, which is a detailed site diagram. The Site constitutes a "facility" under RCW 70.105D.020(4).
- B. <u>Parties</u>: Refers to the Washington State Department of Ecology (the Department) and the Respondent, collectively.
 - C. <u>Respondent</u>: Refers to Avista Development, Inc.
- D. <u>Consent Decree or Decree</u>: Refers to this Consent Decree and each of the exhibits to the Decree. All exhibits are integral and enforceable parts of this Consent Decree. The terms "Consent Decree" or "Decree" shall include all Exhibits to the Consent Decree.
- E. <u>Day or Days</u>: Refers to a calendar day(s) unless otherwise specified. In computing any period of time under this Decree, if the last day falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or a state or federal holiday, the period shall run until the end of the next day which is not a Saturday, Sunday, or a state or federal holiday. Any time period scheduled to begin on the occurrence of an act or event shall begin on the day after the act or event.
- F. <u>Section</u>: Refers to a portion of this Consent Decree identified by a Roman numeral.
- G. <u>Debtors</u>: Refers to the several debtors in the bankruptcy case styled *In re Kaiser Aluminum Corporation, et al.*, Bankr. D. Del., Case No, 02-10429 (JKF), including Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corporation (Kaiser), owner and operator of the Kaiser Trentwood Works in Spokane, Washington. The Debtors are not Parties to this Consent Decree.

V. STATEMENT OF FACTS

The Department makes the following findings of fact without any express or implied admissions by the Respondent.

1. Avista Development, Inc. (Avista) (a subsidiary of Avista Corporation, formerly Washington Water Power Company) is successor to Pentzer Development Corporation

- 2. Avista's predecessor Pentzer discharged industrial effluent wastewater to the Spokane River in Washington prior to 1994, under the provisions of the State of Washington Water Pollution Control Law and the federal Water Pollution Control Act, or predecessor laws.
- 3. Polychlorinated biphenyls, or PCBs, have been found in fish, sediment, and water of the upper Spokane River, upstream of RM 80, which approximately marks the location of Upriver Dam. PCBs have been documented in effluent waters and solids associated with Spokane Industrial Park.
- 4. In certified correspondences dated June 1, 2001, the Department notified Avista, Kaiser, and Liberty Lake Sewer District of a preliminary finding of potential liability for PCBs in sediments behind Upriver Dam and requested comment on those findings. In subsequent certified correspondence, the Department notified Inland Empire Paper Company of a preliminary finding of potential liability for PCBs in sediments behind Upriver Dam and requested comment on those findings. Liberty Lake Sewer District and Inland Empire Paper Company have declined to participate in remedial actions at the Site and are not signatories to this Decree.
- 5. Respondent has designated a project coordinator to implement the Work to be Performed. By execution of this Decree, the Respondent agrees to be bound by the terms thereof and not to contest the same.

VI. WORK TO BE PERFORMED

This Decree contains a program designed to protect human health and the environment from the known release, or threatened release, of hazardous substances or contaminants at, on, or from the Site.

1. The Respondent shall furnish all personnel, materials and services necessary for, or incidental to, the planning, initiation, completion, and reporting upon the Cleanup

1	Action Plan, attached as Exhibit A. The work to be performed is the completion of the
2	remedial action described in the attached Cleanup Action Plan.
3	2. The Cleanup Action Plan and each element thereof are designed and shall be
4	implemented and completed in accordance with the Model Toxics Control Act (Chapter
5	70.105D RCW) and its implementing regulation (Chapter 173-340 WAC) as amended, and all
6	applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations.
7	3. As provided in the agreed upon schedule, attached as Exhibit C, the Respondent
8	shall commence work and thereafter complete all tasks in Exhibit A in the time frames and
9	framework indicated unless the Department grants an extension in accordance with Section
10	XV.
11	4. The Respondent agrees not to perform any remedial actions at the Site that are
12	outside the scope of this Decree unless the Parties agree to amend the Cleanup Action Plan to
13	cover these actions. All work conducted by the Respondent under this Decree shall be done in
14	accordance with Chapter 173-340 WAC unless otherwise provided herein.
15	VII. DESIGNATED PROJECT COORDINATORS
16	The project coordinator for the Department is:
17	David Sternberg
18	Department of Ecology Eastern Regional Office
19	4601 N. Monroe
20	Spokane, WA 99205-1295
21	The project coordinator for the Respondent is:
22	Douglas K. Pottratz Avista Corporation
23	PO Box 3727
24	Spokane, WA 99220-3727
25	Each project coordinator shall be responsible for overseeing the implementation of this

Decree. The Department project coordinator will be the Department's designated

representative at the Site. To the maximum extent possible, communications between the

Department and the Respondent and all documents, including reports, approvals, and other correspondence concerning the activities performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Decree, shall be directed through the project coordinators. The project coordinators may designate, in writing, working level staff contacts for all or portions of the implementation of the remedial work required by this Decree. The project coordinators may agree to minor modifications to the work to be performed without formal amendments to this Decree. Minor modifications will be documented in writing by the Department. Substantial changes shall require amendment of this Decree.

Any Party may change its respective project coordinator. Written notification shall be given to the other Party at least ten (10) calendar days prior to the change.

VIII. PERFORMANCE

All work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the direction and supervision, as necessary, of a licensed professional engineer or licensed hydrogeologist, or equivalent, with experience and expertise in hazardous waste site investigation and cleanup. The Respondent shall notify the Department in writing of the identity of such engineer(s) or hydrogeologist(s), or others and of any contractors and subcontractors to be used in carrying out the terms of this Decree, in advance of their involvement at the Site. Any construction work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the supervision of a professional engineer or a qualified technician under the direct supervision of a professional engineer. The professional engineer must be registered in the State of Washington, except as provided in RCW 18.43.130.

IX. ACCESS

The Department or any Department-authorized representative shall have the authority to enter and freely move about portions of the Site over which the Respondent has control and all associated field investigation operations at all reasonable times for the purposes of, inter alia: inspecting records, operation logs, and contracts related to the work being performed

pursuant to this Decree; reviewing the progress in carrying out the terms of this Decree; conducting such tests or collecting samples as the Department may deem necessary; using a camera, sound recording, or other documentary type equipment to record work done pursuant to this Decree; and verifying the data submitted to the Department by the Respondent. By signing this Decree, the Respondent agrees that this Decree constitutes reasonable notice of access, and agree to allow access to site-related field operations at all reasonable times for purposes of overseeing work performed under this Decree. Without limitation on the Department's rights under this Section IX, the Department agrees to endeavor to notify Respondent at least 2 days in advance of intended access.

The Department and the Respondent acknowledge that Avista does not own any of the properties that compose the Site. The Respondent will use reasonable efforts to obtain access to the Site. If necessary, the Department will exercise its authority under Chapter 70.105D RCW to ensure access to the Site or to facilitate remedial action at the Site.

X. SAMPLING, DATA REPORTING, AND AVAILABILITY

With respect to the implementation of this Decree, the Respondent shall make the results of all sampling, laboratory reports, and/or test results generated by it, or on its behalf available to the Department and shall submit these results in accordance with Section XI of this Decree.

In accordance with WAC 173-340-840(5), sampling data shall be submitted according to the Department's sampling data submittal requirements as set forth in Exhibit D to this Decree. In addition, in accordance with the Department's Sediment Quality Information System software (SEDQUAL) needs, sediment or bioassay sampling data shall be submitted to Ecology in a electronic format compatible for entry into the SEDQUAL database using the system's *data entry templates*.

If requested by the Department, the Respondent shall allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by the Department and/or its authorized representatives of any samples collected by

Respondent pursuant to the implementation of this Decree. Respondent shall notify the Department seven (7) working days in advance of any planned field sample collection or work activity at the Site. The Department shall, upon request, allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by Respondent or its authorized representatives of any samples collected by the Department pursuant to the implementation of this Decree provided it does not interfere with the Department's sampling. Without limitation on the Department's rights under Section IX, the Department shall endeavor to notify Respondent at least seven (7) days prior to any scheduled sample collection activity. This will not apply to emergencies or time-critical actions.

In accordance with WAC 173-340-830(2)(a), all hazardous substance analyses shall be conducted by a laboratory accredited under Chapter 173-350 for the specific analyses to be conducted, unless otherwise approved by Ecology.

XI. PROGRESS REPORTS

Respondent shall submit to the Department written progress reports as provided in the Cleanup Action Plan, Exhibit A to this Decree.

XII. RETENTION OF RECORDS

Respondent shall preserve, during the pendency of this Decree and for ten (10) years from the date this Decree is no longer in effect as provided in Section XXVI, all records, reports, documents, and underlying data in its possession relevant to the implementation of this Decree and shall insert in contracts with project contractors and subcontractors a similar record retention requirement. Upon request of the Department, Respondent shall make all non-archived records available to the Department and allow access for review. All archived records shall be made available to the Department within a reasonable period of time.

In the event a dispute arises as to an approval, disapproval, proposed modification or other decision or action by the Department or the Department's project coordinator, the Parties shall utilize the dispute resolution procedure set forth below.

- A. Upon receipt of the Department's or Department project coordinator's decision, or upon notice of the Department's or Department project coordinator's action, the Respondent has fourteen (14) days within which to notify the Department's project coordinator of its objection to the decision or action.
- (1) The Parties' project coordinators shall then confer in an effort to resolve the dispute. If the project coordinators cannot resolve the dispute within fourteen (14) days, the Department's project coordinator shall issue a written decision.
- (2) Respondent may then request regional management review of the decision. This request shall be submitted in writing to the Eastern Region Toxics Cleanup Program Section Manager within seven (7) days of receipt of the Department's project coordinator's decision.
- (3) Ecology's Regional Section Manager shall conduct a review of the dispute and shall issue a written decision regarding the dispute within thirty (30) days of the Respondent's request for review.
- (4) If the Respondent finds Ecology's Regional Section Manager's decision unacceptable, the Respondent may request final management review of the decision. This request shall be submitted in writing to the Toxics Cleanup Program Manager within seven (7) days of receipt of the Regional Manager's decision.
- (5) The Department's Program Manager shall conduct a review of the dispute and shall issue a written decision regarding the dispute within thirty (30) days of the Respondent's request for review. The Program Manager's decision shall be the Department's final decision on the disputed matter.

- B. If the Department's final written decision is unacceptable to the Respondent, it has the right to submit the dispute to this Court (the Court) for resolution. The Parties agree that one judge should retain jurisdiction over this case and shall, as necessary, resolve any dispute arising under this Decree. In the event the Respondent presents an issue to the Court for review, the Court shall review any investigative or remedial action or decision of the Department on the basis of whether such action or decision was arbitrary and capricious and render a decision based on such standard of review.
- C. The Parties agree to only utilize the dispute resolution process in good faith and agree to expedite, to the extent possible, the dispute resolution process whenever it is used. Where either Party utilizes the dispute resolution process in bad faith or for purposes of delay, the other Party may seek sanctions.
- D. Implementation of these dispute resolution procedures shall not provide a basis for delay of any activities required in this Decree, unless the Department agrees in writing to a schedule extension or the Court so orders.

XIV. AMENDMENT OF CONSENT DECREE

Except for minor modifications agreed to pursuant to Section VII and extensions that do not constitute a substantial change granted in accordance with Section XV, this Decree may only be amended by a written stipulation among the parties to this Decree that is entered by the Court or by order of the Court. All amendments shall become effective upon entry by the Court. Agreement to amend shall not be unreasonably withheld by any party to the Decree.

Any party may propose an amendment to the Decree. A party that receives a request for amendment shall indicate its approval or disapproval in a timely manner after the request for amendment is received. If the amendment to the Decree is substantial, the Department will provide public notice and opportunity for comment. Reasons for the disapproval shall be stated in writing. If any party does not agree to any proposed amendment, the disagreement

may be addressed through the dispute resolution procedures described in Section XIII of this Decree.

XV. EXTENSION OF SCHEDULE

- A. An extension of schedule shall be considered when a request for an extension is submitted in a timely fashion, generally at least 30 days prior to expiration of the deadline for which the extension is requested, and good cause exists for granting the extension. All extensions shall be requested in writing. The request shall specify the reason(s) the extension is needed, the deadline that is sought to be extended, the length of the extension sought, and any related deadline or schedule that would be affected if the extension were granted.
- B. An extension shall be granted for such period of time as the Department determines is reasonable under the circumstances. A requested extension shall not be effective until approved by the Department or the Court. The Department shall act upon any written request for extension in a timely fashion. It shall not be necessary to formally amend this Decree pursuant to Section XIV when a schedule extension is granted unless the extension constitutes a substantial change.
- C. The burden shall be on the Respondent to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Department that the request for such extension has been submitted in a timely fashion and that good cause exists for granting the extension. Good cause includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- (1) Circumstances beyond the reasonable control and despite the due diligence of the Respondent including delays caused by unrelated third parties or the Department, such as (but not limited to) delays by the Department in reviewing, approving, or modifying documents submitted by the Respondent; or
- (2) Acts of God or war, including fire, flood, blizzard, extreme temperatures, storm, earthquake, terrorist attack, or other unavoidable casualty; or
 - (3) Endangerment as described in Section XVI; or

(4) Other circumstances agreed to by the Department to be exceptional or extraordinary.

However, neither increased costs of performance of the terms of the Decree nor changed economic circumstances shall be considered circumstances beyond the reasonable control of the Respondent.

- D. An extension shall be granted only for such period as Ecology determines is reasonable under the circumstances. Ecology may grant schedule extensions exceeding ninety (90) days only as a result of:
- (1) Delays in the issuance of a necessary permit which was applied for in a timely manner; or
 - (2) Other circumstances deemed exceptional or extraordinary by the Department; or
 - (3) Endangerment as described in Section XVI.

The Department shall give the Respondent written notification in a timely fashion of any extensions granted pursuant to this Decree.

XVI. ENDANGERMENT

In the event the Department determines that any activities being performed at the Site pursuant to this Decree are creating or have the potential to create a danger to human health or the environment, the Department may order the Respondent to cease such activities for such period of time as needed to abate the danger or may petition the Court for an order as appropriate. During any stoppage of work under this section, the obligations of the Respondent with respect to the work under this Decree which is ordered to be stopped shall be suspended and the time periods for performance of that work, as well as the time period for any other work dependent upon the work which is stopped, shall be extended, pursuant to Section XV of this Decree, for such period of time as the Department determines is reasonable under the circumstances.

pursual
pursual
the er
for th
proced
until t
soon a
therea
the Do
resum
Respon
as we
shall

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

pursuant to this Decree are creating or have the potential to create a danger to human health or the environment, the Respondent may cease such activities for such period of time necessary for the Department to evaluate the situation and determine whether the Respondent should proceed with implementation of the Decree or whether the work stoppage should be continued until the danger is abated. The Respondent shall notify the Department's project coordinator as soon as possible, but no later than twenty-four (24) hours after such stoppage of work, and thereafter provide the Department with documentation of the basis for the work stoppage. If the Department disagrees with the Respondent's determination, it may order the Respondent to resume implementation of this Decree. If the Department concurs with the work stoppage, the Respondent's obligations shall be suspended and the time period for performance of that work, as well as the time period for any other work dependent upon the work which was stopped, shall be extended, pursuant to Section XV of this Decree, for such period of time as the Department determines is reasonable under the circumstances.

In the event the Respondent determines that any activities being performed at the Site

XVII. COVENANT NOT TO SUE

A. Covenant Not to Sue: In consideration of Respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of this Decree, Ecology covenants not to institute legal or administrative actions against Respondent regarding the release or threatened release of hazardous substances covered by this Decree.

This Decree covers only the Site and those hazardous substances that Ecology knows are located at the Site as of the date of entry of this Decree. This Decree does not cover any other hazardous substance or area. Ecology retains all of its authority relative to any substance or area not covered by this Decree.

This Covenant Not to Sue shall have no applicability whatsoever to:

- (1) Criminal liability;
- (2) Liability for damages to natural resources; or

(3) Any Ecology action, including cost recovery, against potentially liable persons not a party to this Decree.

If factors not known to Ecology at the time of entry of the settlement agreement are discovered and present a previously unknown threat to human health or the environment, the Court shall amend this covenant not to sue.

- B. Reopeners: Ecology specifically reserves the right to institute legal or administrative action against Respondent to require it to perform additional remedial actions at the Site and to pursue appropriate cost recovery, pursuant to RCW 70.105D.050 under the following circumstances:
- (1) Upon Respondent's failure to meet the requirements of this Decree, including, but not limited to, failure of the remedial action to meet the cleanup standards identified in the Cleanup Action Plan (Exhibit A);
- (2) Upon Ecology's determination that remedial action beyond the terms of this Decree is necessary to abate an imminent and substantial endangerment to human health or the environment;
- (3) Upon the discovery of factors unknown at the time of entry of this Decree, including the nature or quantity of hazardous substances at the Site, that present a previously unknown threat to human health or the environment and Ecology's determination, in light of these factors, that further remedial action is necessary at the Site to protect human health or the environment; or
- (4) Upon Ecology's determination based on factors unknown at the time of entry of this Decree that additional remedial actions are necessary to achieve cleanup standards within the reasonable restoration time frame set forth in the Cleanup Action Plan.
- C. Except in the case of an emergency, prior to instituting legal or administrative action against the Respondent pursuant to paragraph B. above, Ecology shall provide the Respondent with fifteen (15) calendar days notice of such action.

XVIII. CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

With regard to claims for contribution against the Respondent, the Parties agree that the Respondent is entitled to protection against claims for contribution for matters addressed in this Decree as provided by RCW 70.105D.040(4)(d). For the purposes of this section, "matters addressed" include all remedial actions undertaken at the Site pursuant to this Decree. "Matters addressed" also include all remedial actions previously undertaken at the Site to characterize the contamination or to enable the selection of a cleanup action, and all oversight costs paid to Ecology.

XIX. FINANCIAL ASSURANCES

Pursuant to WAC 173-340-440(11), Respondent shall maintain sufficient and adequate financial assurance mechanisms to cover all costs associated with the operation and maintenance of the remedial action at the Site, including institutional controls, compliance monitoring, and corrective measures.

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this Decree, Respondent shall submit to Ecology for review and approval an estimate of the costs that it will incur in carrying out the terms of this Decree, including operation and maintenance and compliance monitoring. Within sixty (60) days after Ecology approves the aforementioned cost estimate, the Respondent shall provide proof of financial assurances sufficient to cover all such costs in a form acceptable to Ecology.

Respondent shall adjust the financial assurance coverage and provide Ecology's project manager with documentation of the updated financial assurance for:

1. Inflation, annually, within thirty (30) days of the anniversary date of the entry of this Decree; or if applicable, the modified anniversary date established in accordance with the following subparagraph, or if applicable, ninety (90) days after the close of the Respondent's fiscal year if the financial test or corporate guarantee is used, and

2. Changes in cost estimates, within thirty (30) days of issuance of Ecology's approval of a modification or revision to the CAP that results in increases to the cost or expected duration of remedial actions. Any adjustments for inflation since the most recent preceding anniversary date shall be made concurrent with adjustments for changes in cost estimates. The issuance of Ecology's approval of a revised or modified CAP will revise the anniversary date established in subparagraph (1) above to become the date of issuance of such revised or modified CAP.

XX. INDEMNIFICATION

The Respondent agrees to indemnify and save and hold the State of Washington, its employees, and agents harmless from any and all claims or causes of action for death or injuries to persons or for loss or damage to property arising from or on account of acts or omissions of the Respondent, its officers, employees, agents, or contractors in entering into and implementing this Decree. However, the Respondent shall not indemnify the State of Washington nor save nor hold its employees and agents harmless from any claims or causes of action arising out of either the State of Washington's or any of its agencies' status as potentially liable persons with respect to contamination at the Site or the intentional, reckless, or negligent acts or omissions of the State of Washington, or the employees or agents of the State, in implementing the activities pursuant to this Decree.

XXI. COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS

- A. All actions carried out by the Respondent pursuant to this Decree shall be done in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local requirements, including requirements to obtain necessary permits, except as provided in paragraph B. of this section.
- B. Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.090(l), the substantive requirements of chapters 70.94, 70.95, 70.105, 77.55, 90.48, and 90.58 RCW and of any laws requiring or authorizing local government permits or approvals for the remedial action under this Decree that are

1

2

18 19

20

14

15

16

17

21 22

23

24 25 26 known to be applicable at the time of entry of the Decree are binding and enforceable requirements of the Decree. The Respondent has a continuing obligation to determine whether additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under this Decree. In the event either the Respondent or the Department determines that

additional permits or approvals addressed in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would otherwise be required for the remedial action under this Decree, it shall promptly notify the other party of this determination. The Department shall determine whether the Department or the Respondent shall be responsible to contact the appropriate state and/or local agencies. If the Department so requires, the Respondent shall promptly consult with the appropriate state and/or local agencies and provide the Department with written documentation from those agencies of the substantive requirements those agencies believe are applicable to the remedial action. The Department shall make the final determination on the additional substantive requirements that must be met by the Respondent and on how the Respondent must meet those requirements. The Department shall inform the Respondent in writing of these requirements. Once established by the Department, the additional requirements shall be enforceable requirements of this Decree. The Respondent shall not begin or continue the remedial action potentially subject to the additional requirements until the Department makes its final determination.

The Department shall ensure that notice and opportunity for comment is provided to the public and appropriate agencies prior to establishing the substantive requirements under this section.

C. Pursuant to RCW 70.105D.090(2), in the event the Department determines that the exemption from complying with the procedural requirements of the laws referenced in RCW 70.105D.090(1) would result in the loss of approval from a federal agency which is necessary for the State to administer any federal law, the exemption shall not apply and the Respondent shall comply with both the procedural and substantive requirements of the laws referenced in RCW 70.105D.090(1), including any requirements to obtain permits.

XXII. REMEDIAL AND INVESTIGATIVE COSTS

The Respondent agrees to pay the remedial action costs incurred by the Department for the Site pursuant to this Decree that are consistent with WAC 173-340-550, provided that such costs shall not exceed a total of \$75,000.

The Respondent agrees to pay the required amount within ninety (90) days of receiving from the Department an itemized statement of costs that includes a summary of costs incurred, an identification of involved staff, and the amount of time spent by involved staff members on the project. A general statement of work performed will be provided upon request. Itemized statements shall be prepared quarterly. Pursuant to WAC 173-340-550(4), failure to pay Ecology's costs within ninety (90) days of receipt of the itemized statement will result in interest charges at the rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum, compounded monthly.

XXIII. IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION

If the Department determines that the Respondent has failed without good cause to implement the remedial action required by this Decree, the Department may, after written notice to the Respondent and a reasonable opportunity for Respondent to cure the failure, perform any or all portions of the remedial action required by this Decree that remain incomplete. If the Department performs all or portions of the remedial action because of the Respondent's failure to comply with its obligations under this Decree, the Respondent shall reimburse the Department for the costs of doing such work in accordance with Section XX, provided that the Respondent is not obligated under this section to reimburse the Department for costs incurred for work inconsistent with or beyond the scope of this Decree.

XXIV. PERIODIC REVIEW

As remedial action, including monitoring, continues at the Site, the Parties agree to review the progress of remedial action at the Site, and to review the data accumulated as a

result of monitoring the Site as often as is necessary and appropriate under the circumstances. At least every five years after the initiation of cleanup action at the Site, the Parties shall meet to discuss the status of the Site and the need, if any, for further remedial action at the Site. The Department reserves the right to require further remedial action at the Site under appropriate circumstances. With respect to the Respondent, however, the Department may require further remedial action at the Site only as provided under Section XVII (Covenant Not to Sue). This provision shall remain in effect for the duration of the Decree. A report, which addresses the review criteria in WAC 173-340-420, shall be submitted by Respondent ninety (90) days before every 5-year anniversary of the completion of construction.

XXV. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

The Department shall maintain the responsibility for public participation at the Site. However, the Respondent shall cooperate with the Department, and shall:

- A. If agreed to by the Department, prepare drafts of public notices and fact sheets at important stages of the remedial action, such as the submission of work plans, remedial investigation/feasibility study reports, cleanup action plans, and engineering design reports. As appropriate, the Department will edit, finalize, and distribute such fact sheets and prepare and distribute public notices of the Department's presentations and meetings;
- B. Notify the Department's project coordinator prior to the preparation of all press releases and fact sheets, and before major meetings with the interested public and local governments. Likewise, the Department shall notify the Respondent prior to the issuance of all press releases and fact sheets, and before major meetings with the interested public and local governments. The Department shall also endeavor to provide Respondent with an opportunity to review and comment on all press releases, fact sheets, and other materials that will be distributed to the public and local governments prior to issuance. For all press releases, fact sheets, meetings, and other outreach efforts by the Respondent that do not receive prior Department approval, the Respondent shall clearly indicate to its audience that the press

release, fact sheet, meeting, or other outreach effort was not sponsored or endorsed by the Department;

- C. Participate in public presentations on the progress of the remedial action at the Site. Participation may be through attendance at public meetings to assist in answering questions, or as a presenter;
- D. In cooperation with the Department, arrange and/or continue information repositories at the following locations:
 - (1) The Spokane Public Library, Downtown Branch;
- (2) The Department's Eastern Regional Office at North 4601 Monroe Street in Spokane.

At a minimum, copies of all public notices, fact sheets, and press releases; all quality assured monitoring data; remedial actions plans and reports, supplemental remedial planning documents, and all other similar documents relating to performance of the remedial action required by this Decree shall be promptly placed in these repositories.

XXVI. DURATION OF DECREE

This Decree shall remain in effect until the Respondent has received written notification from the Department that the requirements of this Decree have been satisfactorily completed. The Department shall issue such notification within 60 days after the requirements of this Decree have been satisfactorily completed. Thereafter, the parties within thirty (30) days shall jointly request that the Court vacate this Consent Decree. After the Decree is vacated, Section XVII (Covenant Not to Sue) and XVIII (Contribution Protection) shall survive.

XXVII. CLAIMS AGAINST THE STATE

The Respondent hereby agrees that it will not seek to recover any costs accrued in implementing the remedial action required by this Decree from the State of Washington or any of its agencies, except to the extent they are potentially liable persons with respect to

contamination at the Site; and further, that the Respondent will make no claim against the State Toxics Control Account or any Local Toxics Control Account for any costs incurred in implementing this Decree. Except as provided above, however, the Respondent expressly reserves its right to seek to recover any costs incurred in implementing this Decree from any other potentially liable person; however, nothing in this Decree shall affect any claims between Avista and the Debtors, which shall be governed solely by the Bankruptcy Consent Decree.

XXVIII. EFFECTIVE DATE

This Decree is effective upon the later of (1) the date it is entered by the Court, (2) the Effective Date of the Bankruptcy Consent Decree, or (3) the date that Debtors make the payment to the Respondent required by the Bankruptcy Consent Decree.

XXIX. PUBLIC NOTICE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT

This Decree has been the subject of public notice and comment under RCW 70.105D.040(4)(a). As a result of this process, the Department has found that this Decree will lead to a more expeditious cleanup of hazardous substances at the Site in compliance with the cleanup standards established under Chapter 173-340 WAC.

If the Court withholds or withdraws its consent to this Decree, if the Bankruptcy Court declines to enter the Bankruptcy Consent Decree, or if Debtors fail to make the payment to the Respondent required by the Bankruptcy Consent Decree, this Decree shall be null and void at the option of any Party and the accompanying Complaint shall be dismissed without costs and without prejudice. In such an event, no Party shall be bound by the requirements of this Decree.

1		
2	DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY	ROB McKENNA
3		Attorney General
4		
5	JIM PENDOWSKI Program Manager	STEVEN J. THIELE, WSBA #20275 Assistant Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Washington, Department of Ecology
6	Program Manager Washington Department of Ecology Toxics Cleanup Program	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Washington, Department of Ecology
7	Date:	Date:
8	AVISTA DEVELOPMENT, INC.	
9		
10	By:	
11	Title:	
12	Date:	
13	DATED 4.5	2005
14	DATED this day of	
15		
16		JUDGE
		Spokane County Superior Court
17		Spokane County Superior Court
18		Spokane County Superior Court
18 19		Spokane County Superior Court
18 19 20		Spokane County Superior Court
18 19 20 21		Spokane County Superior Court
18 19 20 21 22		Spokane County Superior Court
18 19 20 21 22 23		Spokane County Superior Court
18 19 20 21 22 23 24		Spokane County Superior Court
18 19 20 21 22 23		Spokane County Superior Court